Respondents	Evaluation□Criteria		Evaluator # 1	Evaluator # 2	Evaluator # 3	Evaluator # 4	Evaluator # 5			1
								TOTALS		
Rummel, Klepper & Kahl	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	25	20	24	21	25		sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	20	17	19	18	20	94.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	12	14	14	14	69.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	13	15	14	15	72.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	10	9	10	10	49.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	8	9	9	10	46.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	2	5	3	4	18.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	99	82	95	89	98	463.0	GRAND TOTAL	
A. Morton Thomas & Associates	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	20	20	24	20	25	109.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	15	17	16	18	20	86.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	13	14	13	15	70.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	13	14	14	15	71.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	9	9	10	10	48.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	8	9	9	10	46.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	5	5	5	5	5	25.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	90	85	91	89	100	455.0	GRAND TOTAL	
			_	_	_					

Respondents	Evaluation□Criteria		Evaluator # 1	Evaluator # 2	Evaluator # 3	Evaluator # 4	Evaluator # 5			
								TOTALS		
Johnson, Mirmirna & Thompson	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	20	22	23	23	25	113.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	15	17	17	18	20	87.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	13	14	14	14	70.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	13	15	14	15	72.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	10	10	10	10	50.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	8	9	9	10	46.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	3	2	5	3	4	17.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	88	85	93	91	98	455.0	GRAND TOTAL	
WBCM	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	25	18	23	23	25	114.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	20	15	18	18	20	91.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	13	13	13	15	69.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	13	13	14	15	70.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	8	8	10	10	46.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	8	8	9	10	45.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	2	5	3	4	18.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	99	77	88	90	99	453.0	GRAND TOTAL	

Respondents	Evaluation□Criteria		Evaluator # 1	Evaluator # 2	Evaluator # 3	Evaluator # 4	Evaluator # 5			1
·								TOTALS		
KCI Technologies	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	25	20	19	21	24		sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	19	16	12	17	19	83.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	12	12	12	13	64.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	12	13	13	14	67.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	10	8	9	10	47.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	8	8	9	10	45.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	2	5	3	4	18.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	98	80	77	84	94	433.0	GRAND TOTAL	
Greenhorne & O'Mara	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	20	16	20	23	25	104.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	15	17	13	19	20	84.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	10	13	12	12	15	62.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	13	13	14	15	70.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	9	8	10	10	47.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	8	7	9	10	44.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	2	5	3	4	18.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	84	78	78	90	99	429 0	GRAND TOTAL	
		.50	31	70	,,,	30	30	.23.0		

Respondents	Evaluation□Criteria	1	Evaluator # 1	Evaluator # 2	Evaluator # 3	Evaluator # 4	Evaluator # 5	l	ı	1
respondents	Evaluation		Lvaluator # 1	Lvaluatol # 2	Lvaluator # 5	Lvaluator # 4	Lvaluatoi # 3			
Wallace, Montgomery &								TOTALS		
Associates	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	25	20	19	20	25	109.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	20	16	13	17	20	86.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	12	11	13	15	66.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	12	10	13	14	64.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	7	8	8	9	42.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	8	7	9	10	44.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	2	5	3	4	18.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	99	77	73	83	97	429.0	GRAND TOTAL	
Charles P. Johnson & Associates	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	19	15	21	20	24	99.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	15	14	14	15	19	77.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	10	14	9	14	62.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	12	14	12	14	67.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	5	8	6	7	36.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	7	8	7	9	41.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	3	5	4	5	21.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	88	66	84	73	92	403.0	GRAND TOTAL	

Respondents	Evaluation□Criteria		Evaluator # 1	Evaluator # 2	Evaluator # 3	Evaluator # 4	Evaluator # 5					
								TOTALS				
Brudis & Associates	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	23	15	15	20	24		sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects		
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	17	15	11	16	19	78.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience		
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	10	10	11	15	61.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects		
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	10	9	12	14	60.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time		
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	5	6	7	7	35.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project		
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	8	7	7	10	42.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget		
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	3	5	4	4	20.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location		
	Total	100	94	66	63	77	93		GRAND TOTAL	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	20	17	18	15	23	93.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects		
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	15	16	13	14	18	76.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience		
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	10	10	12	5	13	50.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects		
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	10	11	10	14	60.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time		
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	8	7	7	7	39.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project		
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	7	8	8	9	42.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget		
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	3	5	3	4	19.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location		
	Total	100	84	71	74	62	88	379 0	GRAND TOTAL			
		100		/1	/ -	J2		373.0	S.VIIID TOTAL			
				_	_	_			_			
		_										

Respondents	Evaluation□Criteria		Evaluator # 1	Evaluator # 2	Evaluator # 3	Evaluator # 4	Evaluator # E	I	ı	T
Respondents	Evaluation Criteria		Evaluator # 1	Evaluator # 2	Evaluator # 3	Evaluator # 4	Evaluator # 5			
								TOTALS		
Sheladia Associates, Inc.	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	20	18	17	12	24	91.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	10	14	13	12	20	69.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	5	11	5	15	51.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	10	10	10	14	59.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	5	8	7	8	38.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	7	8	7	9	41.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	5	5	5	5	4	24.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	85	64	72	58	94	373.0	GRAND TOTAL	
Nolan Associates, Inc.	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	15	17	17	19	24	92.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	10	10	12	16	19	67.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	10	12	11	12	14	59.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	10	10	12	13	60.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	4	7	7	7	35.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	7	7	8	9	41.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	3	2	5	4	4	18.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	73	62	69	78	90		GRAND TOTAL	
<u> </u>									1	

Respondents	Evaluation□Criteria		Evaluator # 1	Evaluator # 2	Evaluator # 3	Evaluator # 4	Evaluator # 5			
								TOTALS		
Burgess & Niple	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	20	10	18	12	23	83.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	15	14	12	12	19	72.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	5	10	5	14	49.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	10	10	8	14	57.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	9	8	8	7	42.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	7	7	5	9	38.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	5	5	5	5	5	25.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	90	60	70	55	91	366.0	GRAND TOTAL	
Christopher Consultants	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	20	15	15	10	23	83.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	16	14	12	10	18	70.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	10	8	5	13	51.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	10	11	8	14	58.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	7	6	5	7	35.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	7	7	5	9	38.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	3	5	4	4	20.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	90	66	64	47	88	355.0	GRAND TOTAL	
							<u> </u>			

Respondents	Evaluation⊟Criteria		Evaluator # 1	Evaluator # 2	Evaluator # 3	Evaluator # 4	Evaluator # 5			
								TOTALS		
Daft McCune Walker, Inc.	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	15	10	16	10	25		sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	10	14	13	8	20	65.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	7	9	5	15	51.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	10	9	8	14	56.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	2	6	5	9	32.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	7	6	5	10	38.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	4	2	5	3	4		sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	79	52	64	44	97		GRAND TOTAL	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Total	100	- 10	02	- 01		0,	000.0	OTO WAS TO TAKE	
Huron Consulting	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects	25	17	12	10	10	24	73.0	sub total	Recent Successful Experience Delivering Similar Projects
	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience	20	10	10	8	8	19	55.0	sub total	Key Staff/Project Team Qualifications & Experience
	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects	15	15	5	4	5	14	43.0	sub total	Past Performance On Similar SHA Projects
	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time	15	15	5	4	5	14	43.0	sub total	Capability To Accomplish Proposed Work In Required Time
	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project	10	10	2	3	3	5	23.0	sub total	Compatability Of Size Of Firm With Size Of Proposed Project
	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget	10	10	3	5	5	10	33.0	sub total	Demonstration Of Ability To Bring Projects To Completion On Time & Within Budget
	Firm's Project Office Location	5	5	3	5	5	5	23.0	sub total	Firm's Project Office Location
	Total	100	82	40	39	41	91	293.0	GRAND TOTAL	